

Advisory Action
After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/359,260	CAMPBELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ERIC S. DEJONG	1631	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The reply filed 04 September 2007 is acknowledged.

1. ☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:

a. ☐ The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).

b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.
See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. ☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

3. ☒ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

4. ☒ Other: Continuation of Item 3. NOTE: By entry of the after final amendment filed 09/04/2007, the status of the claims is as follows: Claims 1-75, 77-81, 91, 96-130, and 133 have been canceled. Claims 76, 82-90, 92-95, 131, 132, and 134-138 are pending. The following grounds of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims. Claims 76, 82, 87-90, 92-95, 131, 131, and 134-138 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Ostrem et al. Claims 76, 82-90, 92-95, 131, and 134-138 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ostrem et al. in view of Cramer et al.

/Eric S DeJong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631